# LABOUR DEPARTMENT

### Orders :

## The 10th August, 1982

No, ID/FD/61/82/36945.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s Anand Synthetics Pvt. Ltd., 22 K.M. Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication —

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bones @ 20% for the years 1978-79, 1979-80, 1980-81, 1981-82? If so, with what details?

# H. L. GUGNANI,

Commissioner and Secretary to Gevernment, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department.

### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

### .Orders

## The 6th August, 1982

No. ID/FD/98/82/36550.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Chander and the management of M/s Amar Pali House of Fashion Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 27, Sector 27-C, Faridabad, regarding the matters hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/99/82/36557.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sant Kumar and the management of M/s Jatindra Steel Tubes Ltd., 14/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri San: Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?